arXiv:1703.10424v1 [math.CO] 30 Mar 2017

Monochromatic paths in random tournaments

Matija Bucić* Shoham Letzter† Benny Sudakov‡

Abstract

We prove that, with high probability, any 2-edge-colouring of a random tournament on n vertices contains a monochromatic path of length $\Omega(n/\sqrt{\log n})$. This resolves a conjecture of Ben-Eliezer, Krivelevich and Sudakov and implies a nearly tight upper bound on the oriented size Ramsey number of a directed path.

1 Introduction

Ramsey theory refers to a large body of mathematical results, which roughly say that any sufficiently large structure is guaranteed to have a large well-organised substructure. For example, the celebrated theorem of Ramsey [10] says that for any fixed graph H, every 2-edge-colouring of a sufficiently large complete graph contains a monochromatic copy of H. The *Ramsey number of* H is defined to be the smallest order of a complete graph satisfying this property.

In this paper, we study an analogous phenomenon for oriented graphs. An *oriented graph* is a directed graph which can be obtained from a simple undirected graph by orienting its edges. The undirected graph, obtained by ignoring edge orientations of an oriented graph, is called its *underlying graph*.

A *tournament* is an orientation of the complete graph. Given directed graphs G and H, we write $G \to H$ if any 2-edge-colouring of G contains a monochromatic copy of H. The *oriented Ramsey number* of H is defined to be the smallest n for which $T \to H$ for every tournament T on n vertices.

While in the undirected case the Ramsey number exists and is finite for every H, in the directed case the Ramsey number can be infinite. Indeed, given a directed graph H that contains a directed cycle and any tournament T, we colour the edges of T as follows. Denote the vertices of T by $v_1, \dots, v_n$ and colour edges v_iv_j red if $i < j$ and blue otherwise. It is easy to see that this coloring has no monochromatic directed cycle, so, in particular, there is no monochromatic copy of H.

Therefore it only makes sense to study the Ramsey properties for acyclic graphs. We note that oriented Ramsey number of an acyclic graph is always finite. This follows since every acyclic graph is subgraph of a transitive tournament, and transitive tournaments have finite oriented Ramsey

*Department of Mathematics, ETH, 8092 Zurich; e-mail: matija.bucic@math.ethz.ch.
†ETH Institute for Theoretical Studies, ETH, 8092 Zurich; e-mail: shoham.letzter@eth-its.ethz.ch.
‡Department of Mathematics, ETH, 8092 Zurich; e-mail: benjamin.sudakov@math.ethz.ch.

number by essentially the same argument as for the complete graphs in the undirected Ramsey case. One of the most basic acyclic graphs is a directed path. In this paper we study the problem of finding such monochromatic paths in tournaments.

Another major difference between the undirected and the oriented Ramsey numbers, is the fact that there is only one complete graph on n vertices, while there are many tournaments on n vertices. In particular, the answer to how long a monochromatic path we can find in a tournament on n vertices T, depends on T as well as the colouring of the edges. We thus define $m(T)$ to be the largest m such that $T \to \overrightarrow{P_m}$, where $\overrightarrow{P_m}$ is the directed path on m vertices.

The celebrated Gallai-Hasse-Roy-Vitaver theorem [7, 9, 13, 14], states that any directed graph, whose underlying graph has chromatic number at least n, contains a directed path on n vertices. A simple consequence of this theorem is that $m(T) \geq \sqrt{n}$, for any tournament T on n vertices. Indeed, let T be a 2-coloured tournament on n vertices, let T_R be the subgraph of T consisting of red edges and T_B the subgraph consisting of blue edges. Since $\chi(T) = n$ we have $\chi(T_R) \geq \sqrt{n}$ or $\chi(T_B) \geq \sqrt{n}$ (by $\chi(G)$, where G is an oriented graph, we mean the chromatic number of its underlying graph). In either case the aforementioned theorem implies there is a monochromatic path on at least $\sqrt{n}$ vertices.

To see that this is tight, consider the following 2-colouring of a *transitive tournament* T on n vertices, whose vertices are $1, \ldots, n$ and xy is an edge if and only if $x < y$; for convenience we assume that n is a perfect square. Partition the vertices of T into subsets A_i of size $\sqrt{n}$, such that all vertices in A_i are smaller than all vertices in A_j for $i < j$. Colour the edges within A_i blue and the other edges red. It is easy to see that a monochromatic path in this colouring has at most $\sqrt{n}$ vertices. In particular $m(T) \leq \sqrt{n}$, so $m(T) = \sqrt{n}$.

The above resolves the problem of minimising the value of $m(T)$ among all n-vertex tournaments. It is natural to consider the opposite question: what is the *maximum* of $m(T)$ and which T attains this maximum?

This question was implicitly posed by Ben-Eliezer, Krivelevich and Sudakov in [4], where they note that $m(T) \leq \frac{2n}{\sqrt{\log n}}$ for any n-vertex tournament. Indeed, it is well known and easy to see that any tournament of order n has a transitive subtournament of order $\log n$. Using this we can find a sequence of vertex-disjoint transitive subtournaments A_i of order $\frac{1}{2}\log n$ covering all but at most $\sqrt{n}$ vertices. We denote the set of remaining vertices by B. We now 2-colour each of A_i, as described above, to ensure that the longest monochromatic path within A_i is of length $\sqrt{|A_i|}$. We then colour all edges from A_i to A_j blue if $i < j$ and red if $i > j$. Finally, we colour the edges going out of B red and edges going into B blue, while edges within B can be coloured arbitrarily. In this colouring, the longest monochromatic path has length at most $\frac{2n}{\log n}\sqrt{\frac{\log n}{2}} + \sqrt{n} \leq \frac{2n}{\sqrt{\log n}}$.

Are there tournaments that achieve this bound? Intuitively, such tournaments should be 'far away from being transitive'. With this in mind, Ben-Eliezer, Krivelevich and Sudakov [4] conjectured that random tournaments achieve this bound, up to a constant factor, with high probability.

The random tournament on n vertices, which we denote by T_n, is obtained by orienting each edge uniformly at random and independently of other edges. With respect to this probability space we say an event A holds *with high probability* if the probability that A occurs tends to 1 as $n \to \infty$.

To support their conjecture, Ben-Eliezer, Krivelevich and Sudakov show that a random tournament satisfies $m(T_n) \geq \frac{cn}{\log n}$ with high probability, where $c > 0$ is a constant. Here we improve this bound, proving the following essentially tight result.

Theorem 1. *There is a constant $c > 0$ such that a random tournament $T = T_n$ satisfies $T \to \overrightarrow{P_m}$, with high probability, where $m = \frac{cn}{\sqrt{\log n}}$.*

Considering Theorem 1 resolves the question of the maximal value of $m(T_n)$, up to a constant, with respect to a directed path, the natural next question is if it is possible to generalise it for other graphs, in place of a directed path. In this direction, we prove a generalisation of Theorem 1 for arbitrarily oriented paths, which is tight up to a constant factor. Given an arbitrarily oriented path P, we denote by $l(P)$ the length of the longest directed subpath of P.

Theorem 2. *There is a constant c such that, with high probability, if T is a random tournament on $c(l\sqrt{\log l} + n)$ vertices, then $T \to P$, for every oriented path P on n vertices with $l(P) \leq l$.*

Theorem 1 is connected to the study of size Ramsey numbers, which was initiated by Erdős, Faudree, Rousseau and Schelp [6] in 1972, and has received considerable attention since then. The *size Ramsey number* of a graph H is defined to be the minimum of $e(G)$ (the number of edges of G) over all graphs G for which $G \to H$. Answering a question of Erdős, Beck [2] proved in 1983 that the size Ramsey number of the undirected path on n vertices is linear in n.

Here we consider the following natural analogue for oriented paths. Given an oriented graph H, we define the *oriented size Ramsey number*, denoted by $r_e(H)$, to be

$$r_e(H) = \min\{e(G) : G \to H,\ G \text{ is an oriented graph}\}.$$

Ben-Eliezer, Krivelevich and Sudakov [4] studied this number for the directed path, obtaining the following estimates, for some constants c_1, c_2.

$$c_1 \frac{n^2 \log n}{(\log\log n)^3} \leq r_e\left(\overrightarrow{P_n}\right) \leq c_2 n^2 (\log n)^2.$$

We note that this illustrates the difference between the oriented and directed size Ramsey numbers (where in the directed case, G is allowed to be any directed graph). Indeed, the directed size Ramsey number of P_n is $\Theta(n^2)$, as follows from results by Raynaud [11] (see also [8] for a simple proof) and Reimer [12].

Note that Theorem 1 immediately implies the following upper bound on $r_e\left(\overrightarrow{P_n}\right)$, which is tight up to a factor of $O((\log\log n)^3)$.

Corollary 3. *There is an absolute constant c such that*

$$r_e\left(\overrightarrow{P_n}\right) \leq cn^2 \log n.$$

1.1 Organisation of the paper

In the following section, we list several results that we shall need for the proof of Theorem 1. In Section 3, we prove an asymmetric version of Theorem 1 that applies to pseudorandom tournaments

from which we easily deduce Theorem 1. We prove Theorem 2 in Section 4. We conclude the paper in Section 5 with some remarks and open problems.

Throughout the paper we do not try to optimize constants, all logarithms are in base 2 and we omit floor and ceiling signs whenever these are not crucial. By 2-colouring, we always mean a colouring of edges in two colours.

2 Preliminaries

In this section we list several general results we need for the proof of Theorem 1. We start with a simple observation.

Observation 4. *Let G be a directed graph in which every vertex has in-degree at least k. Then G contains a directed cycle of length at least $k+1$.*

Proof. Consider a longest directed path $v_1 \dots v_l$ in G. Note that v_1 cannot have any in-neighbours outside of the path, by the maximality assumption on the path. But, as v_1 has in-degree at least k, v_1 needs to have an in-neighbour v_i on the path with $i \geq k+1$. Now, $(v_1 \dots v_i)$ is a directed cycle of length at least $k+1$, as required. □

We give several simple definitions. Given two subsets of vertices of a directed graph G, let $e_G(A,B)$ denote the number of edges of G oriented from A to B; we often omit G and write $e(A,B)$ when it is clear which G it refers to. If G is a 2-coloured graph, we denote by $e_R(A,B)$ the number of red edges from A to B, and by $e_B(A,B)$ the number of blue edges from A to B.

Definition 5. An oriented graph is said to be (ε, k)-*pseudorandom* if for every two disjoint sets A and B of size at least k, we have $e(A,B) \geq \varepsilon |A||B|$.

Our proof of Theorem 1 works for any (ε, σ)-pseudorandom graph, in place of a random tournament. However, we note that our definition of pseudorandomness does not coincide with the one used by Ben-Eliezer, Krivelevich and Sudakov in [4]. In particular they defined a graph to be k-pseudorandom if between any two sets A, B of size k there is an edge oriented from A to B.

The following Lemma shows that a random tournament is $(\varepsilon, \sigma \log n)$-pseudorandom with arbitrary $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ and $\sigma = \sigma(\varepsilon)$. This is the only property of random tournaments that we will use in the proof.

Lemma 6. *For any $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ there is a constant $\sigma = \sigma(\varepsilon)$ such that if $T = T_n$, then with high probability T is $(\varepsilon, \sigma \log n)$-pseudorandom.*

Proof. Let $\delta = \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon$. Let A, B be a fixed pair of disjoint sets of vertices of size $k = \sigma \log n$, for a constant σ. Each of the k^2 possible edges is oriented from A to B with probability $1/2$, independently of all other edges, so if X denotes the number of such edges, it is a binomially distributed random variable ($X \sim \mathrm{Bin}\left(k^2, \frac{1}{2}\right)$).

As such, Chernoff bounds (see appendix A of [1]) imply the following.

$$\mathbb{P}\left(X < \left(\frac{1}{2} - \delta\right) k^2\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(X > \left(\frac{1}{2} + \delta\right) k^2\right) \leq e^{-2\delta^2 k^2}.$$

Now as there are at most $\binom{n}{k}^2$ choices for A and B, the probability that there is a pair of subsets A, B having $e(A,B) < \left(\frac{1}{2} - \delta\right) k^2$ is, by the union bound, at most:

$$\binom{n}{k}^2 e^{-2\delta^2 k^2} \leq e^{2k \log n - 2\delta^2 k^2} = e^{2\sigma \log^2 n (1 - \delta^2 \sigma)} = o(1),$$

given that $\sigma > \delta^{-2}$.

Finally, given two sets of size $a = |A| \geq k$ and $b = |B| \geq k$, by double counting the number of pairs of subsets of size k a given edge is in, we get that $e(A,B) \geq \frac{\binom{a}{k}\binom{b}{k}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\delta\right)k^2}{\binom{a-1}{k-1}\binom{b-1}{k-1}} \geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \delta\right) ab$.

So, by setting $\sigma = 2\left(\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon\right)^{-2}$, we see that T is $(\varepsilon, \sigma \log n)$-pseudorandom with high probability. □

The following lemma is due to Ben-Eliezer, Krivelevich and Sudakov [3, 4] and is a useful application of the Depth-first search (DFS) algorithm.

Lemma 7. *Given an oriented graph G, there is a directed path P such that vertices of $G - P$ can be partitioned into 2 disjoint sets U, W such that $|U| = |W|$ and all the edges between U and W are oriented from W to U.*

Proof. We start with $U = V(G), W, P = \emptyset$. We repeat the following procedure, preserving properties of P being a path, $|U| \geq |W|$ and all edges between U, W being oriented from W to U. We stop when $|U| = |W|$. If P is empty we select a vertex from U and consider it as the path P, else we consider the endpoint v of P, if v has any out-neighbours among U we use them to extend P, else we can add v to W preserving the conditions. Note that at each step either $|U|$ decreases by one or $|W|$ increases by 1 so the value $|U| - |W|$ decreases by exactly one per step, in particular it reaches 0 when we stop. □

The following lemma will prove useful at several places in the proof of 1. It will mostly be used by exploiting pseudorandomness to imply its conditions are satisfied.

Lemma 8. *Let $\varepsilon > 0$, and let A and B be disjoint sets of n vertices of a directed graph G. Suppose that $e(B,A) \geq \varepsilon n^2$, and $e(X,Y) > 0$ for every two subsets $X \subseteq A$ and $Y \subseteq B$ of size at least $\frac{\varepsilon}{8}n$. Then there is a cycle, alternating between A and B, whose length is at least $\frac{\varepsilon}{4}n$.*

Proof. Consider the graph H, consisting only of edges between A and B. We show that H contains a cycle of length at least $\frac{\varepsilon}{4}n$. Note that it suffices to show that there is a path P in H, consisting of at least $\frac{3\varepsilon}{4}n$ vertices. Indeed, let X be the set of the first $\frac{\varepsilon}{8}n$ vertices of P that are in B and let Y be the set of the last $\frac{\varepsilon}{8}n$ vertices of P in A. As vertices of P alternate between A and B, X is in the first third of the path while Y is in the last third. By the assumptions, there is an edge from Y to X, which completes a cycle containing the middle third of P, so has at least the required length.

By Lemma 7 (applied to H), the vertices of H may be partitioned into a directed path P, which we may assume has at most $\frac{3\varepsilon}{8}n$ vertices in each of A and B, and two sets U and W of equal size, such that there are no edges from U to W. Write $U_A = U \cap A$ and similarly for U_B, W_A, W_B, P_A, P_B. Then $|U| = |W|$ and $|A| = |B|$ imply that $|P|$ is even, which in turn due to P alternating between

A and B implies $|P_A| = |P_B|$. Combining these equalities, we obtain $|U_A| = |W_B|$ and $|U_B| = |W_A|$. Note that, as there are no edges from U_A to W_B, by the assumptions we have $|U_A| < \frac{\varepsilon}{8}n$. So the edges from B to A can be covered by $V(P) \cup U_A \cup W_B$, which is a set of size smaller than εn, so there are fewer than εn^2 such edges, a contradiction to the assumption that $e(B, A) \geq \varepsilon n^2$. □

The following theorem is a result of Raynaud [11] (see also [8] for a simple proof) about monochromatic paths in 2-colourings of the complete directed graph.

Theorem 9 (Raynaud [11])**.** *In every 2-colouring of the complete directed graph on n vertices, there is a monochromatic path of length $\frac{n}{2}$.*

We restate the Gallai-Hasse-Roy-Vitaver theorem mentioned in the introduction.

Theorem 10. *Let G be a directed graph, whose underlying graph has chromatic number at least n. Then G contains a directed path of length $n-1$.*

The following is an asymmetric variant of a result mentioned in the introduction; its proof is again a simple consequence of Theorem 10, so we omit the details.

Corollary 11. *In every 2-colouring of a tournament on at least $xy+1$ vertices, we can either find a red path of length x or a blue path of length y.*

3 Main result

Given directed graphs G, H_1 and H_2, we write $G \to (H_1, H_2)$ if in every 2-colouring of G there is a blue copy of H_1 or a red copy of H_2. Note that $G \to (H, H)$ is same as $G \to H$.

The following theorem is a generalisation of Theorem 1, that is applicable for pseudorandom graphs, and treats the asymmetric case. The statement for pseudorandom graphs is convenient for the proof of Theorem 2 which we give in the next section.

Theorem 12. *Given $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ and $0 < \sigma$, let G be an $(\varepsilon, \sigma \log n)$-pseudorandom tournament on n vertices. There is a constant $c > 0$, such that $G \to \left(\overrightarrow{P}_r, \overrightarrow{P}_s\right)$ provided $r, s \leq cn$ and $rs \leq \frac{cn^2}{\log n}$.*

We note that, by setting $r = s = \frac{cn}{\sqrt{\log n}}$ and combining with Lemma 6, we obtain Theorem 1, while, by taking $r = s = \frac{cn}{\log n}$, we obtain the previously best result of Ben-Eliezer, Krivelevich and Sudakov [4] (in fact, they proved the special case of Theorem 12 where $r = cn$ and $s = \frac{cn}{\log n}$).

Proof. The proof differs depending on the monochromatic cycle structure of G. Let $a = 24\varepsilon^{-1}\sigma$ and $b = 12\varepsilon^{-1}a$; we say that

$$\text{a cycle } C \text{ is } \begin{cases} \textit{short} & \text{if } |C| < a \log n, \\ \textit{medium} & \text{if } a \log n \leq |C| \leq b \log n, \\ \textit{long} & \text{if } b \log n < |C|. \end{cases}$$

Our proof is divided into two parts. In the first part we consider the case where there are many vertex disjoint blue medium cycles, whereas in the second part we consider the case where there are many vertices that do not have any medium monochromatic cycles.

Case 1: many disjoint medium monochromatic cycles

Suppose that there is a collection of vertex-disjoint medium monochromatic cycles that cover at least $n/2$ vertices. Then, without loss of generality, there are pairwise disjoint medium blue cycles $C_1 \dots, C_t$, that cover at least $\frac{n}{4}$ vertices. Note that $t \geq \frac{n}{4b \log n} \geq \frac{n}{48\varepsilon^{-1} a \log n}$, by the upper bound on the length of the cycles. We will show that in this case there is a monochromatic path of length linear in n.

We define an auxiliary graph H, which is a 2-colouring of the complete directed graph on vertex set $[t]$, defined as follows. The edge ij is blue if at least $\frac{a}{4} \log n$ vertices in C_i have a blue neighbour in C_j; otherwise, ij is red.

Let M be a maximum red-red matching in H, i.e. M is a matching whose edges are coloured red in both directions.

Suppose first that M consists of at most $\frac{t}{4}$ edges. Then, by maximality of M, the set of vertices not in M spans no red-red edges. In particular, H contains a blue subtournament T' of order $t/2$. As any tournament contains a Hamilton path there is a blue directed path of order $t/2$ in H. Let $B_1, \dots, B_{t/2}$ be the blue cycles corresponding to the vertices in this path, preserving the order of the path. We use the following claim to find a long blue path.

Claim 13. *Let $C_1, \dots, C_k$ be a sequence of pairwise vertex-disjoint directed cycles in a directed graph G, such that for each $i < k$, at least r vertices of C_i have an out-neighbour in C_{i+1}. Then there is a path of length at least $(k-1)r$ in G.*

Proof. We start the path at an arbitrary vertex v_1 of C_1, we follow C_1 to the last vertex that sends an edge towards C_2, and then follow such an edge to a vertex $v_2 \in V(C_2)$. We repeat this for $i < k$: starting from v_i we follow C_i until the last vertex that sends an edge towards C_{i+1} and then follow that edge to obtain v_{i+1}. By the assumption, there are at least r vertices with an edge toward C_{i+1} so the part of the path from v_i to v_{i+1} is of length at least r. Hence, the path we obtain has the desired length. □

Using Claim 13 with the blue cycles B_i and blue edges between them, we find a monochromatic path of length at least $\left(\frac{t}{2} - 1\right) \cdot \frac{a}{4} \log n \geq cn$, provided c is small enough.

Now suppose that M consists of at least $t/4$ edges. We use the following claim to find red cycles whose intersection with existing blue cycles C_i is large. Then we repeat the above argument for intersections of red and blue cycles, with the added benefit that now the setting is more symmetric.

Claim 14. *If both ij and ji are red edges of H, then there is a red cycle in $V(C_i) \cup V(C_j)$ whose intersection with C_i has size at least $\frac{3a\varepsilon}{32} \log n$.*

Proof. Since ij, ji are red, there are subsets $A \subseteq C_i$ and $B \subseteq C_j$, of size $\frac{3a}{4} \log n$, with no blue edges between A and B. By pseudorandomness, $e_R(B, A) \geq \varepsilon |A||B|$, and for any subsets $X \subseteq A, Y \subseteq B$ of sizes at least $\frac{\varepsilon |A|}{8}$ we have $e_R(X, Y) \geq \varepsilon |X||Y|$ (as $\frac{3a\varepsilon}{4} \geq \sigma$). By Lemma 8, there is a red cycle, alternating between A and B, of length at least $\frac{3a\varepsilon}{16} \log n$, so its intersection with C_i has the required size. □

For each edge in M we apply Claim 14 to obtain a collection of disjoint medium blue cycles $B_1, \ldots, B_k$ and disjoint red cycles $R_1, \ldots, R_k$, with $k \geq \frac{t}{4}$, such that $|I_i| \geq \frac{3a\varepsilon}{32} \log n \geq 2\sigma \log n$, where $I_i = B_i \cap R_i$.

We now define an auxiliary 2-colouring of the complete directed graph on vertex set $[k]$, similarly to the one given above: here ij is blue if at least $|I_i|/4$ vertices of I_i send a blue edge towards I_i, and red otherwise. Note that when ij is red, at least $\frac{|I_i|}{4}$ vertices of I_i send a red edge towards I_j (indeed, otherwise, we find a subset of I_i of size at least $|I_i|/2 \geq \sigma \log n$ which sends no edges to I_j, a contradiction to pseudorandomness).

By Theorem 9, there is a monochromatic path of length $\frac{k}{2}$ in the auxiliary graph. Now, by Claim 13, there is a monochromatic path of length at least $\frac{k}{2} \cdot \frac{\sigma}{2} \log n \geq cn$, provided c is small enough.

Case 2: there is a large set of vertices spanning no medium or large cycles

We now assume that there is a subset U of at least $n/2$ vertices that spans no medium monochromatic cycles.

We first show that, in fact, U does not span long monochromatic cycles either. Suppose to the contrary that $C = (v_1 v_2 \ldots v_k)$ is a long monochromatic cycle in U of minimum length; without loss of generality, C is blue. Note that all chords of C of length at least $|C|/6$ are red, as otherwise we can obtain a blue cycle of length at least $|C|/6$ but less than $|C|$, a contradiction to the choice of C or the assumption that there are no medium blue cycles in U. Let $A = \{v_1, \ldots, v_{k/3}\}$ and $B = \{v_{k/2+1}, \ldots, v_{5k/6}\}$. As explained above, all the edges between A and B are red. By Lemma 8 (which can be applied due to pseudorandomness as $\frac{\varepsilon|A|}{8} \geq \frac{\varepsilon b}{24} \log n > \sigma \log n$), $A \cup B$ spans a red cycle C of length at least $\frac{\varepsilon|A|}{4} \geq \frac{\varepsilon b}{12} \log n = a \log n$, so C is either a medium cycle or a shorter monochromatic long cycle. Either way, we reach a contradiction, hence there are no monochromatic long cycles.

Let $m = |U|$. We note that the fact that there are only short blue cycles implies the existence of an ordering of the vertices with few back blue edges.

Claim 15. *There is an order of the vertices, $u_1, \ldots, u_m$ such that for every i there are at most $a \log n$ indices $j > i$ such that the edge $u_j u_i$ exists and is blue.*

Proof. If there is a subtournament of T in which minimum blue in-degree is of size at least $a \log n$ then, by Observation 4, we can find a blue cycle of length at least $a \log n$, a contradiction. Hence, there is a vertex u_1 in U whose in-degree is at most $a \log n$. Similarly, if $u_1, \ldots, u_{i-1}$ are defined, we may take u_i to be a vertex with in-degree at most $a \log n$ in $T_i = T \setminus \{u_1, \ldots, u_{i-1}\}$. The order $u_1, \ldots, u_m$ satisfies the requirements of the claim. □

We now take $d = 120\varepsilon^{-2}\sigma$ and $k = 10d \log n$, and define sets $U_1, \ldots, U_{\frac{n}{2k}}$ by $U_i \equiv \{u_{(i-1)k+1}, \ldots, u_{ik}\}$. Note that for each i we have $|U_i| = k$.

Claim 16. *Let $i < j$, and let W_i and W_j be subsets of U_i and U_j, respectively, of size $k/10$. Then there is a a red edge from W_j to W_i and a blue edge from W_i to W_j.*

Proof. Let W_i and W_j be as in the claim. We start with the red edges. By pseudorandomness, as $|W_i| = |W_j| = \frac{k}{10} = d \log n \geq \sigma \log n$, we have $e(W_j, W_i) \geq \varepsilon |W_j||W_i|$. Also, by the property of the order given by Claim 15, at most $|W_i| a \log n$ of the edges from W_j to W_i are blue. It follows that $e_R(W_j, W_i) \geq \varepsilon |W_j||W_i| - |W_i| a \log n \geq |W_j||W_i| \left(\varepsilon - \frac{a \log n}{|W_j|}\right) \geq \left(\varepsilon - \frac{24\varepsilon^{-1}\sigma \log n}{120\varepsilon^{-2}\sigma \log n}\right) |W_j||W_i| > \frac{4\varepsilon}{5}|W_j||W_i| > 0$, as desired.

For the blue edges, let us assume, contrary to the statement of the claim, that all the edges from W_i to W_j are red. By the previous paragraph $e_R(W_j, W_i) \geq \frac{4\varepsilon}{5}|W_i||W_j|$, while for any subsets $X \subseteq W_i, Y \subseteq W_j$ of size at least $\frac{\varepsilon}{10}|W_i| \geq \sigma \log n$ we have $e_R(X, Y) \geq \varepsilon |X||Y| > 0$. Therefore, by Lemma 8 with parameter $\frac{4}{5}\varepsilon$, there is a red cycle of length at least $\frac{\varepsilon}{5}|W_i| = 24\varepsilon^{-1}\sigma \log n = a \log n$, which is a contradiction to the assumption that there are only short cycles. □

Recall that we are looking for a blue directed path of length r or a red directed path of length s. Let $x = \frac{4rk}{n}, y = \frac{4sk}{n}$. We say that a monochromatic path is *long* if it is blue and of length at least x or if it is red and of length at least y.

We note that in any tournament of order $\frac{k}{5} \geq \sigma \log n \geq \log n$ we can find a long path. Indeed, this follows from Corollary 11 as $xy = \frac{(40d)^2 rs \log^2 n}{n^2} \leq (40d)^2 c \log n < \log n$, provided c is small enough.

Within each U_i we repeat the following: we find a long monochromatic path and remove its start and end vertices, then repeat with the remaining graph as long as we can find a long monochromatic path. Note that we remove only two vertices per round, and as long as we have at least $\frac{k}{5}$ vertices left, we can continue, so this process runs for at least $\frac{2k}{5}$ rounds. In particular, we find at least $\frac{2k}{5}$ corresponding start and end points of long paths within U_i.

We call U_i red if we found more red long paths, and blue otherwise. Without loss of generality, there is a collection of $\frac{n}{4k}$ red sets U_i, which we denote by $R_1, \ldots, R_{\frac{n}{4k}}$, preserving the order of the U_i's.

Denote the set of start points in R_i by S_i and the set of endpoints by E_i. Then, by construction, S_i and E_i are disjoint and have size at least $\frac{k}{5}$. Let X_i be the subset of vertices of S_i that are starting points of a red path of length at least iy contained in $R_1 \cup \ldots \cup R_i$.

Claim 17. *For any $i \leq \frac{n}{4k}$, we have $|X_i| \geq \frac{k}{10}$.*

Proof. We prove the claim inductively. For the basis, $X_1 = S_1$, so $|X_1| \geq \frac{k}{5}$. We assume the claim is true for i, so $|X_i| \geq \frac{k}{10}$.

Consider the set Y_{i+1} of vertices of E_{i+1} with a red edge towards X_i. Given $v \in Y_{i+1}$, let $u \in S_{i+1}$ be the corresponding start point of a red long path in R_{i+1}, and let w be a red out-neighbour of v in X_i. By taking the red path of length y in R_{i+1} from u to v, appending to it the edge vw and a path of length iy starting at w, given by the inductive assumption, we find a path of length $(i+1)y$ starting at u, hence $u \in X_{i+1}$.

If $|Y_{i+1}| \leq \frac{k}{10}$, then X_i and $E_{i+1} \setminus Y_{i+1}$ are both of size at least $\frac{k}{10}$, but there are no red edges from the second set to the first, a contradiction to Claim 16. It follows that $|Y_{i+1}| \geq \frac{k}{10}$, so by taking the start points corresponding to vertices in Y_{i+1}, we find at least $\frac{k}{10}$ vertices in X_{i+1}, completing the proof. □

The statement of Claim 17, for $i = \frac{n}{4k}$, implies that there is a red monochromatic path of length $\frac{n}{4k}y = s$, thus completing the proof of Theorem 12 in this case.

To complete the proof, consider a maximal collection of disjoint monochromatic medium cycles. If it covers more than $\frac{n}{2}$ vertices we are done by Case 1. Otherwise, let U be the set of remaining vertices, then $|U| \geq \frac{n}{2}$ and there are no medium cycles in U, and we are done by Case 2. □

We note that in the above proof, by tracking the required constants we find that the value $c = \left(\frac{\varepsilon^2}{4800\sigma}\right)^2$ suffices. Using Lemma 6 and optimising the above expression over ε we obtain that $c = 2^{21}$ suffices in the statement of Theorem 1.

4 Arbitrarily oriented paths

We denote by $P_{n_1,\ldots,n_k}$ the oriented path consisting of k maximal directed subpaths, with the i-th one of length n_i. Recall that $l(P)$ is the length of the longest directed subpath of an oriented path P. Our aim in this section is to extend Theorem 12 to arbitrarily oriented paths. We prove the following result, which can readily be seen to be tight, up to a constant factor. Note that Theorem 2 easily follows from this result, by Lemma 6.

Theorem 18. *Given $\varepsilon, \sigma > 0$, there is a constant c such that the following holds. Let T be an $(\varepsilon, \sigma \log |T|)$-pseudorandom tournament on $c(l\sqrt{\log l} + n)$ vertices. Then $T \to P$, for every oriented path P on n vertices with $l(P) \leq l$.*

Theorem 18 follows from Theorem 12, with some additional ideas. Before turning to the proof, we mention a few preliminaries.

Let A and B be disjoint subsets of $V(G)$, where G is an oriented graph. Let $G(A, B)$ be the subgraph consisting only of edges of G oriented from A to B. We say that (A, B) is a *k-mindegree pair* if the underlying graph of $G(A, B)$ has minimum degree at least k. The following simple lemma shows how to find k-mindegree pairs in graphs with sufficiently many edges.

Lemma 19. *Let G be a directed graph on n vertices with at least dn edges. Then G contains a $d/4$-mindegree pair.*

Proof. We note that there is a bipartition $\{X, Y\}$ of $V(G)$ with $e(X, Y) \geq dn/4$. Indeed, if $\{X, Y\}$ is a random bipartition (i.e. vertices are put in X with probability $1/2$ independently of other vertices), then the expected number of edges from X to Y is $e(G)/4 \geq dn/4$. Hence the required partition exists.

Now consider the underlying graph of $G(X, Y)$. This graph has at least $dn/4$ edges. Now remove, one by one, vertices of degree less than $d/4$ until no such vertices remain. Note that fewer than $dn/4$ edges are removed in this process, which implies that not all vertices were removed, i.e. the resulting graph has minimum degree at least $d/4$. Denote by X' and Y' the sets of vertices remaining in X and Y respectively. Then (X', Y') is a $d/4$-mindegree pair. □

Given an oriented path $P = P_{n_1,\dots,n_k}$, let P_i denote the i-th maximal directed subpath of P. The following lemma is the main machinery that we shall need in the proof of Theorem 18.

Lemma 20. *Let G be a directed graph. Suppose that $e(G) \geq 4(x+n)|G|$ and that every subset of at least x vertices contains $\overrightarrow{P_l}$. Then any oriented path P on n vertices, with $l(P) \leq l$, is a subgraph of G.*

Proof. By Lemma 19, there is an $(x+n)$-mindegree pair (A, B) in G. Let $P = P_{n_1,\dots,n_k}$. We prove by induction on k, that given the assumptions of the lemma, we can embed P with last vertex v in A if P_k is directed towards v, and in B otherwise. For the basis, the case $k = 1$, the choices of A and B implies that $|A|, |B| \geq x + n$ so within both A and B we can find a copy of P_1.

Let us assume that the statement holds for paths consisting of $k-1$ maximal directed subpaths. Then we can find $P' = P_{n_1,\dots,n_{k-1}-1}$ in G. Let v be the last vertex of P'. We assume that v is an end vertex of P'_{k-1}, so $v \in A$, the other case can be treated in a similar fashion.

Let S be the set of out-neighbors of v in B that are not in P'. As v has at least $x+n$ out-neighbours in B, and $|P'| \leq n$, we have $|S| \geq x$. By the assumption we can embed $P_k = \overrightarrow{P_{n_k}}$ in S, as $n_k \leq l$; denote its end vertex by u. Now combining P', the edge vu and a copy of P_k in S staring with u, we obtain a copy of P with the last vertex in B, and P_k oriented away from it, as desired. □

We are now ready to prove Theorem 18.

Proof of Theorem 18. Let $x = \varepsilon 2^{-12}|T|$, we choose c large enough in order for $x \geq n$ and $2\sigma \log x \geq \sigma \log |T|$ to hold. We first note that every subset of at least x vertices of T contains a monochromatic $\overrightarrow{P_l}$, provided c is large enough. Indeed, every induced subgraph T' of T on x vertices is $(\varepsilon, 2\sigma \log x)$-pseudorandom. By Theorem 12, T' contains a monochromatic path of length at least $\frac{c_1 x}{\sqrt{\log x}} \geq l$ (here c_1 is the constant given by Theorem 12; provided that c is sufficiently large), as claimed.

Claim 21. *Let $y = |T|/32$, then every induced subgraph H of T on at least y vertices, satisfies $H \to (P, \overrightarrow{P_l})$.*

Proof. Let H be as in the claim, and let us assume that H_R does not contain $\overrightarrow{P_l}$ as a subgraph. This, combined with the above argument, implies that every subset of x vertices of H contains a blue $\overrightarrow{P_l}$. Furthermore, by Lemma 7, there are disjoint sets U and W, such that $|U| = |W| \geq (|H| - l)/2 \geq |H|/4$ and all edges from U to W are blue. By pseudorandomness of T, it follows that $e_B(H) \geq e(U, W) \geq \varepsilon |U||W| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{16} y|H| \geq 4(x+n)|H|$ (here we use $x = \varepsilon 2^{-12}|T|$ and $x \geq n$). Now, it follows from Lemma 20 that H contains a blue copy of P, as required. □

Without loss of generality, red is the majority colour in T. It follows that $e_R(T) \geq |T|(|T|-1)/4 \geq 4(y+n)|T|$. By Claim 21, we may assume that every subset of y vertices of T contains a red $\overrightarrow{P_l}$, because otherwise there is a blue copy of P, and we are done. It now follows from Lemma 20 that T contains a red copy of P, completing the proof of Theorem 18. □

5 Concluding remarks and open problems

Restating Theorem 1, we proved that, with high probability, in every 2-colouring of a random tournament on $\Omega(n\sqrt{\log n})$ vertices there is a monochromatic path of length n. A simple consequence of Theorem 10 generalises the result to k colours, implying that with high probability in any k-edge colouring of a random tournament on $\Omega(n^{k-1}\sqrt{\log n})$ vertices, there is a monochromatic path of length n. Indeed, let T be an $(\varepsilon, \sigma \log |T|)$-pseudorandom tournament on $\Omega(n^{k-1}\sqrt{\log n})$ vertices, and consider a k-colouring of T. Then by Theorem 10, in colour k there is either a monochromatic path $\overrightarrow{P_n}$ or an independent set of size $\Omega(n^{k-2}\sqrt{\log n})$, on which we can use induction, using Theorem 12 as the basis.

On the other hand, we can obtain a k-colour generalisation of the corresponding upper bound which was mentioned in the introduction, which implies that there is a k-edge colouring of any tournament on $\frac{1}{2}n^{k-1}(\log n)^{1/k}$ vertices with no monochromatic path of length n. Indeed, suppose that T is a tournament on $N = \frac{1}{2^k}n^{k-1}(\log n)^{1/k}$. As in the introduction, we may partition the vertices of T into transitive subtournaments on $\frac{1}{2}\log n$ vertices and a remainder of size at most $\sqrt{n}$. Within the transitive parts, we colour the edges so that there is no monochromatic path of length at least $(\frac{1}{2}\log n)^{1/k}$ (this can be done by generalising the two colours construction for transitive tournaments, given in the introduction). We colour the edges between the m parts according to a k-colouring of the complete graph on m vertices that has no monochromatic directed path of length at least $2m^{1/(k-1)}$ or any monochromatic directed cycle (this can be done using a grid construction; we omit further details). The length of the longest monochromatic path in this colouring is at most $\left(\frac{\log n}{2}\right)^{1/k} \cdot 2\left(\frac{2N}{\log n}\right)^{1/(k-1)} + \sqrt{n} \leq n$, as required.

So our result gives a bound which is best possible up to a polylog factor. It would be very interesting to close this gap.

Recall that Theorem 1 implies an upper bound on the oriented size Ramsey number of a path which is tight up to $(\log \log n)^3$ factor; it would be interesting to close this gap.

Our result also improves the best bounds known for k-colour oriented size Ramsey number of directed paths, but here the corresponding best lower bound, due to Ben-Eliezer, Krivelevich and Sudakov [4] has a different power of the logarithmic term, in particular

$$\frac{c_1 n^{2k}(\log n)^{1/k}}{(\log \log n)^{(k+2)/k}} \leq r_e\left(\overrightarrow{P_n}, k+1\right) \leq c_2 n^{2k} \log n.$$

The above construction implies that the best possible example among tournaments is still at least a polylog factor away from the lower bound (as by the above argument any tournament with $cn^{2k}(\log n)^{2/(k+1)}$ edges can be $k+1$-coloured such that there is no monochromatic $\overrightarrow{P_n}$), so it would be interesting to either improve the lower bound or find oriented graphs that would give better bounds.

We presented a generalisation of Theorem 1, by replacing directed paths with oriented paths. We can also obtain a further generalisation to general oriented trees, which is tight up to a polylog factor, using methods used for the oriented paths, combined with ideas we present in a forthcoming paper [5]. More precisely, our methods would show that given an oriented tree T on n vertices, with

high probability in any 2-colouring of a random tournament T on $cn(\log n)^d$ vertices, we can find a monochromatic copy of T. It would be interesting to obtain a tight upper bound.

Acknowledgements

The second author would like to acknowledge the support of Dr. Max Rössler, the Walter Haefner Foundation and the ETH Zurich Foundation.

References

[1] N. Alon and J. H. Spencer, *The probabilistic method*, fourth ed., Wiley Series in Discrete Mathematics and Optimization, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, NJ, 2016.

[2] J. Beck, *On size Ramsey number of paths, trees, and circuits. I*, J. Graph Theory **7** (1983), no. 1, 115–129.

[3] I. Ben-Eliezer, M. Krivelevich, and B. Sudakov, *Long cycles in subgraphs of (pseudo)random directed graphs*, J. Graph Theory **70** (2012), no. 3, 284–296.

[4] ———, *The size Ramsey number of a directed path*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **102** (2012), no. 3, 743–755.

[5] M. Bucić, S. Letzter, and B. Sudakov, *Directed Ramsey numbers for trees*, preprint.

[6] P. Erdős, R. J. Faudree, C. C. Rousseau, and R. H. Schelp, *The size Ramsey number*, Period. Math. Hungar. **9** (1978), no. 1-2, 145–161.

[7] T. Gallai, *On directed paths and circuits*, Theory of Graphs (Proc. Colloq., Tihany, 1966) (1968), 115–118.

[8] A. Gyárfás, *Vertex coverings by monochromatic paths and cycles*, J. Graph Theory **7** (1983), no. 1, 131–135.

[9] M. Hasse, *Zur algebraischen Begründung der Graphentheorie. I*, Math. Nachr. **28** (1965), 275–290.

[10] F. P. Ramsey, *On a Problem of Formal Logic*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **S2-30** (1929), no. 1, 264.

[11] H. Raynaud, *Sur le circuit hamiltonien bi-coloré dans les graphes orientés*, Period. Math. Hungar. **3** (1973), 289–297.

[12] D. Reimer, *The Ramsey size number of dipaths*, Discrete Math. **257** (2002), no. 1, 173–175.

[13] B. Roy, *Nombre chromatique et plus longs chemins d'un graphe*, Rev. Française Informat. Recherche Opérationnelle **1** (1967), no. 5, 129–132.

[14] L. M. Vitaver, *Determination of minimal coloring of vertices of a graph by means of Boolean powers of the incidence matrix*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **147** (1962), 758–759.